

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of violating the public trust in ways Janet Jackson never thought of.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the CEO's bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. And, in this day and age of crackdowns on "campaign finance reform" and indecency on network tv during family viewing hours, I am CERTAIN the FCC will find this a clear violation of its own rules to which it MUST respond. Howard Stern has nothing on Sinclair.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you for your time. I am eagerly awaiting your reply.